UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upub.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/922,106	08/06/2001	Richard Bissonette	1330.1005C	8697
21171 STAAS & HAI	7590 06/09/2000 LSEY LLP	9	EXAM	IINER
SUITE 700	DIZ AMENITE NIM		WEIS, SAMUEL	
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3695	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/922,106	BISSONETTE ET AL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	SETH WEIS	3695			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin if apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this comn D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ma	arch 2009.				
,— · · · · · —	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		nerits is		
Disposition of Claims					
4)	vn from consideration.	equirement.			
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	• •				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			, ,		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National St	age		
Attachment(s)	, .				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 09/922,106 Page 2

Art Unit: 3695

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is in response to Applicants' amendment filed on 26 March 2009. Claims 1, 63, and 68 were amended. New claims 72-75 were added. In view of Applicants' claims, the election to one of the following inventions is deemed necessary. The restrictions are as stated below:

Election/Restrictions

2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Group 1: Claims 1, 27-31, 63-65, 68-70, and 75-76 are drawn to a system for controlling financial transactions of an organization.

Group 2: Claims 72-73 are drawn to a system for controlling financial transactions of an organization.

Group 3: Claim 74 is drawn a system for controlling financial transactions of an organization.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because Inventions 1-3 are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, each invention has separate utility (See MPEP § 806.05d), specifically demonstrated as follows:

Invention 1: accounting for money card transactions within a general

ledger of the financial management system.

Application/Control Number: 09/922,106 Page 3

Art Unit: 3695

Invention 2: the card system limit is a limit on a money transaction card

set by the money transaction card system issuing the card.

Invention 3: immediately authorizing payment for pre-approved

transactions, capturing the transaction before the transaction occurs based on both the management system limit and the

card system limit.

Because these inventions are distinct for being separately usable, as shown above, examining the inventions together would require searching for a reference teaching the unique element(s) of each invention that are not found in the remaining Inventions. This would be quite burdensome, requiring multiple searches, and thus the restriction for examination purposes, as indicated, is proper.

- Applicants are advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of one of the Inventions 1-3 to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.
- 4. Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).
- A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 0 (zero)
 months and 30 (thirty) days from the mail date of this letter. Failure to respond within

Application/Control Number: 09/922,106

Art Unit: 3695

the period for response will result in **ABANDONMENT** of the application (see 35 U.S.C. 133, M.P.E.P. 710.02, 710.02(b)).

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SETH WEIS whose telephone number is (571)272-1882. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 to 5, Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Kyle can be reached on (571) 272-6746. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/SETH WEIS/ Examiner, Art Unit 3695

/Charles R. Kyle/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3695